THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

HYDROJET SERVICES, INC. : CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, : NO. 20-4727-SWR

v.

SENTRY INSURANCE A MUTUAL COMPANY

Defendant. :

ORDER

AND NOW, this 16th day of June 2022, upon consideration of Hydrojet's Motion to Compel Discovery from Sentry (doc. 34) and Sentry's Response in Opposition (doc. 38), it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- 1. Hydrojet's Motion to Compel is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part: and
 - a. Hydrojet's request that this Court deem all of Sentry's objections waived is
 DENIED;
 - b. Hydrojet's request for this Court to compel Sentry to produce communications between Sentry and Reid Howell, Esq. is **DENIED**;
 - c. Any communications between Reid Howell, Esq., and/or Craig Acheson, Envista, NFC, or Sentry, which were previously withheld or redacted on the basis of attorney-client privilege, must not be produced;
 - d. Sentry shall produce all responsive communications with Craig Acheson, Envista, and NFC that were created prior to November 12, 2018. To the extent that responsive communications with Craig Acheson, Envista, and NFC were withheld or redacted on the basis of work-product privilege and created on or after November

Case 5:20-cv-04727-SWR Document 50 Filed 06/16/22 Page 2 of 2

12, 2018, Sentry shall produce such communications to the Court for in camera

inspection.

e. Sentry shall produce responsive documents related to reserves which it previously

withheld or redacted. To the extent Sentry has withheld or redacted responsive

documents and communications related to reserves on the basis of work product

privilege, and to the extent those documents are dated on or after November 12,

2018, the Court orders Sentry to produce such documents to the Court for in camera

review;

f. Sentry shall produce responsive portions of its Claims Manual and Guide, including

information related to reserves and property insurance claims. To the extent that

information is not responsive to Hydrojet's requests, such portions may be withheld

or redacted;

2. All documents and communications that have been ordered for in camera review must be

provided to the Court by July 8, 2022. Should the parties anticipate issues with fulfilling

its obligations under this Order, they should notify the Court immediately.

3. By July 22, 2022, the parties shall submit to the Court, a mutually agreed upon Proposed

Scheduling Order for this matter.

Dated: June 16, 2022

/s/ Scott W. Reid

SCOTT W. REID

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

19